# **Planning Proposal**

### **Kindlebark Drive, Medowie**

#### Proposed amendment to Port Stephens Local Environmental Plan 2013

Lot 10 DP 1154803, 142 Kindlebark Drive; Lot 3 DP 270731, 140 Kindlebark Drive; Lot 2 DP 270731, 140A Kindlebark Drive; Lot 6 DP 270731, 140B Kindlebark Drive; Lot 1 DP 270731, 140C Kindlebark Drive; Lot 61 DP 1106425, 1A Heritage Avenue; Lot 4 DP 270731, 1/1 Heritage Avenue; Lot 5 DP 270731, 2/1 Heritage Avenue

Version – Post Exhibition (25 August 2016)

Further Information: Strategic Planning, Port Stephens Council (02) 4980 0326 council@portstephens.nsw.gov.au



#### FILE NUMBERS

Council:

PSC2014-00920

PP\_2015\_PORTS\_006\_00

Department of Planning and Environment:

#### SUMMARY

| Subject land<br>in Medowie: | <ul> <li>142 Kindlebark Drive (Lot 10 DP 1154803) (Pioneer Ridge<br/>Boutique Winery)</li> <li>140 Kindlebark Drive (Lot 3 DP 270731)</li> <li>140A Kindlebark Drive (Lot 2 DP 270731)</li> <li>140B Kindlebark Drive (Lot 6 DP 270731)</li> <li>140C Kindlebark Drive (Lot 1 DP 270731)</li> <li>1A Heritage Avenue (Lot 61 DP 1106425)</li> <li>1/1 Heritage Avenue (Lot 4 DP 270731)</li> <li>2/1 Heritage Avenue (Lot 5 DP 270731)</li> <li>(refer to Figure 1: Site Identification Map and Figure 2:<br/>Site Maps)</li> </ul> |  |  |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
| Proponent:                  | Untapped Planning (on behalf of landowners)   |  |  |
| Total Area:                 | 1.06 Hectares   |  |  |
| Existing<br>Zoning:         | R5 Large Lot Residential  |  |  |
| Proposed<br>Amendment:      | <ol> <li>Rezone the subject land to R2 Low Density Residential<br/>(including an amendment to the Lot Size Map to 500m<sup>2</sup><br/>and Height of Building Map to 9m).</li> </ol>  |  |  |
|                             | 2. Introduce additional permitted uses to Schedule 1<br>Additional permitted uses of Port Stephens Local<br>Environmental Plan 2013 to permit with development<br>consent on Lot 10 DP 1154803: hotel or motel<br>accommodation; function centre; restaurant or café; small<br>bar; cellar door premises; business premises; shops; and<br>takeaway food and drink premises.  |  |  |
|                             | 3. Restrict the additional permitted uses by limiting the retail floor space of individual tenancies to 100m <sup>2</sup> and restrict the combined commercial premises to 15% of the land to which the provision applies on Lot 10 DP 1154803 (only).  |  |  |
| Potential Lot<br>Yield:     | Approximately 15 residential allotments and 1 lot with additional permitted uses.   |  |  |

### Supporting

- Economic Assessment (Jenny Rand, 2016)
- Studies: F
  - Preliminary Contaminated Site Investigation (Forum Consulting Engineers, 2015)
  - Traffic Impact Statement (Better Transport Futures, 2016)
  - Addendum (Untapped Planning, 2016)
  - Council Report, Resolution and Attachments 28 July 2015 (including the Proponents Planning Proposal, Untapped Planning, April 2015)

#### BACKGROUND

In February 2013 Council received a Planning Proposal from the Proponent to amend the *Port Stephens Local Environmental Plan 2013* (PS LEP 2013). Following discussions the planning proposal was resubmitted in February 2015 to rezone the subject lands from R5 Large Lot Residential to R2 Low Density Residential (including an amendment to the Lot Size Map and Height of Building Map) and to permit additional permitted uses on 142 Kindlebark Drive (Lot 10 DP 1154803) (currently occupied by the Pioneer Ridge Boutique Winery).

On the 28 July 2015 Council resolved to support the Planning Proposal and forward it to the Department of Planning and Environment for a Gateway Determination. The Council report stated the following additional information will be required post-Gateway Determination:

- A traffic impact assessment to demonstrate management of any intensification of the site; and
- Further economic advice from a suitably qualified economist to demonstrate the economic merit and any implications on the existing town centre.

A Gateway Determination was received on the 15 September 2015 (**Attachment** 7). It required further information to satisfy the clause 6 of *State Environmental Planning Policy No.* 55 – *Remediation of Land*; further consideration of S117 Directions in relation to 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport, 5.1 *Implementation of Regional Strategies* and 6.3 *Site Specific Provisions* and the performance criteria for rezoning requests in the *Port Stephens Comprehensive Koala Plan of Management (State Environmental Planning Policy No.* 44 *Koala Habitat Protection*).

An Addendum (Untapped Planning 2016) was submitted in January 2016 which satisfied the request for additional information prior to consultation with public authorities and undertaking community consultation. Agency consultation was undertaken in April 2016 and a summary of the responses is detailed in Section D of this Planning Proposal.

The proposal was placed on public exhibition from 2 June to 16 June 2016. No submissions were received.

#### THE SITE

| 142 Kindlebark Drive (Lot 10 DP 1154803)  | Pioneer Ridge Boutique Winery<br>with cellar door sales, restaurant<br>and function centre, garden<br>centre and gift gallery.  |
|---|---|
| 140 Kindlebark Drive (Lot 3 DP 270731)<br>140A Kindlebark Drive (Lot 2 DP 270731)<br>140B Kindlebark Drive (Lot 6 DP 270731)<br>140C Kindlebark Drive (Lot 1 DP 270731)<br>1A Heritage Avenue (Lot 61 DP 1106425)<br>1/1 Heritage Avenue (Lot 4 DP 270731)<br>2/1 Heritage Avenue (Lot 5 DP 270731) | A single dwelling on Lot 61 DP<br>1106425 and seniors housing<br>complex on Lot 1-6 DP 270731<br>(with four of the approved 17<br>units constructed or under<br>construction).<br>Lot 1 DP 270731 is also known<br>as Middleby Road and is an<br>open access way. |

Part of the site has operated since 1991 as a Rural Industry (winery) with refreshment room and gallery as ancillary uses. Several development applications and modifications have been lodged over time including restaurant, wine tasting and ancillary/minor components. The current owner envisages a boutique winery similar to a small-scale version of the Hunter Valley Gardens village shops; accommodation and restaurant on the Pioneer Ridge Boutique Winery site facilitated by the Planning Proposal.

The site is bound on three sides by the street network. It is adjacent to R2 Low Density Residential zoned land to the south. It is located approximately 1,200m from the Medowie town centre. The proposal makes efficient use of existing infrastructure and services by being located in a developed and serviced area. The site is primarily cleared of native vegetation with some individual trees present.

**Figure 1** – **Aerial Map** of Site (p. 4), **Figure 2** – **Aerial Map** with Distance to Medowie Town Centre and **Figure 3 Cadastral Map** (p.5) provide site context.



Figure 1 – Aerial Map of Site



Figure 2 – Aerial Map with Distance to Medowie Town Centre



Figure 3 - Cadastral Map

#### PART 1 – Objectives of the proposed Local Environmental Plan

The objective of this Planning Proposal is to enable the development for residential purposes on; Lot 10 DP 1154803; Lot 3 DP 270731; Lot 2 DP 270731; Lot 6 DP 270731; Lot 1 DP 270731; Lot 61 DP 1106425; Lot 4 DP 270731 and Lot 5 DP 270731 (142 Kindlebark Drive; 140 Kindlebark Drive; 140A Kindlebark Drive; 140B Kindlebark Drive; 140C Kindlebark Drive; 1A Heritage Avenue; 1/1 Heritage Avenue and 2/1 Heritage Avenue, Medowie).

The Planning Proposal also seeks to formalise and provide limited expansion of the existing uses on Lot 10 DP 1154803 (142 Kindlebark Drive, Medowie) by introducing *Additional permitted uses* to Schedule 1 Additional permitted uses of *Port Stephens Local Environmental Plan 2013* to permit with development consent: hotel or motel accommodation; function centre; restaurant or café; small bar; cellar door premises; business premises; shops; and takeaway food and drink premises. This includes a restriction the additional permitted uses by limiting the retail floor space of individual tenancies to 100m<sup>2</sup>; restrict the combined commercial premises to 15% of the land to which the provision applies.

#### PART 2 – Explanation of Provisions to be included in proposed LEP

The objectives of the Planning Proposal will be achieved by:

• Amending the *Port Stephens Local Environmental Plan 2013* Land Zoning Map relating to Lot 10 DP 1154803, 142 Kindlebark Drive; Lot 3 DP 270731, 140

Kindlebark Drive; Lot 2 DP 270731, 140A Kindlebark Drive; Lot 6 DP 270731, 140B Kindlebark Drive; Lot 1 DP 270731, 140C Kindlebark Drive; Lot 61 DP 1106425, 1A Heritage Avenue; Lot 4 DP 270731, 1/1 Heritage Avenue; Lot 5 DP 270731, 2/1 Heritage Avenue, Medowie. The Planning Proposal seeks to rezone the lots from R5 Large Lot Residential to R2 Low Density Residential (Attachments 1 & 2)

- Amending the Port Stephens Local Environmental Plan 2013 Lot Size Map relating to Lot 10 DP 1154803, 142 Kindlebark Drive; Lot 3 DP 270731, 140 Kindlebark Drive; Lot 2 DP 270731, 140A Kindlebark Drive; Lot 6 DP 270731, 140B Kindlebark Drive; Lot 1 DP 270731, 140C Kindlebark Drive; Lot 61 DP 1106425, 1A Heritage Avenue; Lot 4 DP 270731, 1/1 Heritage Avenue; Lot 5 DP 270731, 2/1 Heritage Avenue, Medowie. The Planning Proposal seeks to amend the lot size map to reflect a lot size of 500m<sup>2</sup> (Attachments 3 & 4)
- Amending the *Port Stephens Local Environmental Plan 2013* Height of Building Map relating to Lot 10 DP 1154803, 142 Kindlebark Drive; Lot 3 DP 270731, 140 Kindlebark Drive; Lot 2 DP 270731, 140A Kindlebark Drive; Lot 6 DP 270731, 140B Kindlebark Drive; Lot 1 DP 270731, 140C Kindlebark Drive; Lot 6 DP 1106425, 1A Heritage Avenue; Lot 4 DP 270731, 1/1 Heritage Avenue; Lot 5 DP 270731, 2/1 Heritage Avenue, Medowie. The Planning Proposal seeks to amend the height of building map to reflect a height of 9m (Attachments 5 & 6)
- Amending the *Port Stephens Local Environmental Plan 2013* Schedule 1 *Additional permitted uses* as follows:

| 8. Use of certain land at 142 Kindlebark Drive, Medowie          |
|--|
| (1) This clause applies to land at 142 Kindlebark Drive, Medowie |
| being Lot 10 DP 1154803.   |
| (2) Development for the following purposes is permitted with     |
| development consent:   |
| (a) hotel or motel accommodation,                                |
| (b) function centre,   |
| (c) restaurant or café,  |
| (d) small bar,   |
| (e) cellar door premises,  |
| (f) commercial premises being 'business premises', 'shops'       |
| and 'takeaway food and drink premises'.                          |
| (3) Development consent for commercial premises under subclause  |
| (2f) must only be granted to development that has:               |
| (a) tenancies which have a retail floor area that does not       |
| exceed 100 square metres, and                                    |
| (b) the combined commercial premises not exceeding 15% of        |
| the land to which this clause applies.                           |
|  |

The proposed additional uses on site, as defined by PS LEP 2013 are:

**hotel or motel accommodation** means a building or place (whether or not licensed premises under the Liquor Act 2007) that provides temporary or short-term accommodation on a commercial basis and that:

(a) comprises rooms or self-contained suites, and

(b) may provide meals to guests or the general public and facilities for the parking of guests' vehicles, but does not include backpackers' accommodation, a boarding house, bed and breakfast accommodation or farm stay accommodation.

*function centre* means a building or place used for the holding of events, functions, conferences and the like, and includes convention centres, exhibition centres and reception centres, but does not include an entertainment facility.

**restaurant or café** means a building or place the principal purpose of which is the preparation and serving, on a retail basis, of food and drink to people for consumption on the premises, whether or not liquor, take away meals and drinks or entertainment are also provided.

**small bar** means a small bar within the meaning of the Liquor Act 2007. Note. Small bars are a type of food and drink premises—see the definition of that term in this Dictionary.

**cellar door premises** means a building or place that is used to sell wine by retail and that is situated on land on which there is a commercial vineyard, and where most of the wine offered for sale is produced in a winery situated on that land or is produced predominantly from grapes grown in the surrounding area.

#### business premises means a building or place at or on which:

(a) an occupation, profession or trade (other than an industry) is carried on for the provision of services directly to members of the public on a regular basis, or (b) a service is provided directly to members of the public on a regular basis, and includes a funeral home and, without limitation, premises such as banks, post offices, hairdressers, dry cleaners, travel agencies, internet access facilities, betting agencies and the like, but does not include an entertainment facility, home business, home occupation, home occupation (sex services), medical centre, restricted premises, sex services premises or veterinary hospital.

**shops** means premises that sell merchandise such as groceries, personal care products, clothing, music, homewares, stationery, electrical goods or the like or that hire any such merchandise, and includes a neighbourhood shop, but does not include food and drink premises or restricted premises.

**takeaway food and drink premises** means premises that are predominantly used for the preparation and retail sale of food or drink (or both) for immediate consumption away from the premises.

#### SECTION A – Need for the Planning Proposal

#### 1. Is the planning proposal a result of any strategic study or report?

a) Rezoning to R2 Low Density Residential

The Planning Proposal is not the result of a strategic study or report. It seeks to rezone the land from R5 Large Lot Residential to R2 Low Density Residential to facilitate infill development of existing large lot residential land. The proposed R2 Low Density Residential zone is consistent with the adjoining land use zone to the south and is compatible with existing residential development to the north and to the east. The site is also bound on three sides by the street network facilitating the increased development of a discrete and limited area (see Figure 1 and Figure 2).

Rezoning the subject lands is consistent with neighbourhood planning principles and maximises infill development opportunities for housing within walking distance to the town centre. The Planning Proposal will facilitate development that is compatible with the existing streetscape and subdivision layout in the immediate area.

b) Additional Permitted Uses

The Planning Proposal seeks additional permitted uses on the land comprising the existing Pioneer Ridge Boutique Winery to allow limited future development and expansion. Rather than rezoning the site to a commercial zone, the Schedule 1 *Additional permitted uses* clause allows specific uses on the site within the proposed R2 Low Density Residential zone. This approach limits the range of permissible uses on the site and ensures the scale of potential development will not detract from the existing town centre. It will facilitate small-scale commercial premises that are supportive of the dominant use of the site, being a restaurant, cellar door premises and function centre with accommodation.

### 2. Is the planning proposal the best means of achieving the objectives or intended outcomes, or is there a better way?

The proposal can only be achieved through an amendment to the *Port Stephens Local Environmental Plan 2013*.

#### **SECTION B** – Relationship to Strategic Planning Framework

### 3. Is the planning proposal consistent with the objectives and actions contained within the applicable regional or sub-regional strategy?

Lower Hunter Regional Strategy

The *Lower Hunter Regional Strategy* identifies Medowie as a proposed urban area with boundaries to be defined through local planning. This local planning was undertaken by Council through the Medowie Strategy.

a) Rezoning to R2 Low Density Residential

The Planning Proposal is consistent with the *Lower Hunter Regional Strategy*. The *Lower Hunter Regional Strategy* seeks to maximise redevelopment and infill opportunities for medium and high density housing within walking distance of centres. The Site is a walkable 1,200m distance from the Medowie town centre. The Planning Proposal facilitates increasing housing density in an existing urban area in close proximity to the town centre and near public transport. This allows for better use of existing infrastructure, reduced travel by car and encourages resource efficiency. It is consistent with the *Lower Hunter Regional Strategy* neighbourhood planning principles.

a) Additional Permitted Uses

The Lower Hunter Regional Strategy aims to facilitate the concentration of activities along transport routes and within and adjacent to centres. The proposed additional permitted uses complement the existing land uses on the site and allow the landowner to evolve and diversify them in the future without compromising the Medowie town centre. The Planning Proposal also concentrates development on the main transport route Medowie Road.

#### Draft Plan for Growing Hunter City

The *Draft Plan for Growing Hunter City* identifies Medowie in the Northern Gateway District.

The Planning Proposal is consistent with *Action 1.2.1 Identify new opportunities for housing in the existing urban area to increase supply and improve housing choice* because it seeks to provide housing within an existing urban footprint with good access to jobs and services.

### 4. Is the planning proposal consistent with the local Council's Community Strategic Plan, or other local strategic plan?

#### Port Stephens Integrated Strategic Plan

The Planning Proposal is consistent. The *Port Stephens Integrated Strategic Plan* states that Council should provide for a range of lot sizes and housing types to respond to demographic needs and affordability. The Planning Proposal will potentially add approximately 15 residential allotments within an existing town.

#### Port Stephens Planning Strategy

The *Port Stephens Planning Strategy* identifies Medowie as a Priority 1 Infill and New Release Area. Urban growth is ongoing as the *Medowie Strategy* is implemented. The Planning Proposal will contribute towards infill development in Medowie.

#### Commercial and Industrial Lands Study

The Study identifies Medowie as a 'town centre' in the proposed retail hierarchy and defines it as a "Shopping and business centre for the district, including health and professional services mixed with medium and higher density residential". It includes a gap analysis summarised in the following table which indicate a shortfall of supply in Medowie.

|                     |        | 2009<br>Floorsp | Occupied<br>bace (sqm) |       | Floorspace<br>(sqm) | 2031<br>Floorspace |
|---------------------|--------|-----------------|------------------------|-------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Medowie<br>precinct | centre | 7,257           |                        | 8,117 |                     | 9,493              |

A gap analysis with two supply scenarios concluded the following:

|         |            |            | Low sce | nario  | High Sc | enario    |
|---------|------------|------------|---------|--------|---------|-----------|
|         | 2016       | 2031       | 2016    | 2031   | 2016    | 2031      |
|         | Floorspace | Floorspace | Supply  | Supply | Supply  | Supply    |
|         | Demand     | Demand     | Gap     | Gap    | Gap     | Gap (sqm) |
|         | (sqm)      | (sqm)      | (sqm)   | (sqm)  | (sqm)   | /         |
| Medowie | 8,117      | 9,493      | 330     | -1,045 | 8,129   | 6,753     |

The gap analysis highlights under a low supply scenario there will be insufficient capacity to accommodate this demand and that more commercial-zoned land will be required for the town centre. Since 2009 an additional supermarket has been constructed in the town centre and assisted in meeting the predicted occupied floor space demand referenced in the Study. The Planning Proposal does not seek to facilitate uses or additional floorspace to impact the existing centre and commercial hierarchy through the application of an amendment to Schedule 1 Additional permitted uses of the *Port Stephens Local Environmental Plan 2013*.

#### Draft Revised Medowie Planning Strategy

The Site is not identified for a change in land use in the *Draft Revised Medowie Planning Strategy*. The *Draft Revised Medowie Planning Strategy* encourages consolidation of commercial uses within the existing town centre; however the Strategy does acknowledge site-specific in locations outside of the town centre to cater for existing uses and attractions along the main transport routes (including the Pioneer Ridge Boutique Winery). The introduction of a Schedule 1 Additional permitted uses clause would allow the expansion of an established existing use to encompass specific limited additional uses. This approach permits limited future growth of the business on the subject land and does not impact on existing commercially zoned land in the town centre.

The *Draft Revised Medowie Planning Strategy* facilitates consideration of the Planning Proposal because it is an expansion of existing urban areas and is located along a main transport route. The subject land is located on the fringe of the R5 Large Lot Residential Zone and is adjacent to land zoned R2 Low Density Residential to the south. The subject land is a large holding close to the town centre, providing opportunity for infill development and urban consolidation to help achieve additional housing in Medowie.

## 5. Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable State environmental planning policies?

An assessment of the Planning Proposal against the relevant State environmental planning policies is provided in the table below.

|   | vant State Environmental  | <u> </u>   |
|---|---|--|
| SEPP  | Relevance   | Consistency and Implications   |
| SEPP 44 –<br>Koala<br>Habitat<br>Protection | Provides consideration<br>to the protection of<br>koalas.               | <b>Consistent.</b><br>The Port Stephens Comprehensive<br>Koala Plan of Management has<br>updated maps for Medowie<br>(updated in 2006). The site is<br>identified as 'mainly cleared land',<br>'link over cleared land' and '100m<br>buffer over cleared land'. This is<br>consistent with the cleared nature<br>of the majority of the site (refer to<br>Figure 1). |
|   |   | An Addendum ( <b>Attachment 9</b> )<br>provides a review of the<br>performance criteria of the <i>Port</i><br><i>Stephens Comprehensive Koala</i><br><i>Plan of Management</i> based on<br>superseded and outdated koala<br>habitat mapping.   |
|   |   | Council's Natural Resources Team<br>reviewed the submitted<br>performance criteria assessment<br>and advised that the Planning<br>Proposal is unlikely to have major<br>ecological impact as the existing<br>environment is largely cleared.   |
| SEPP 55 –<br>Remediation<br>of<br>Land      | Provides consideration<br>to the suitability based<br>on contamination. | <b>Consistent.</b><br>The site has a history of use as farmland requiring investigation under the <i>NSW Managing Land Contamination Planning Guidelines</i> 1999.   |
|   |   | A Phase 1 Potential Contamination<br>Report (Forum Consulting<br>Engineers, 26 November 2015)<br>was carried out on the site. The<br>report examined the site<br>description, history, geology, review<br>of environmental licenses, notices<br>and a review of historical aerial  |

Table 1: Relevant State Environmental Planning Policies

|   |  | <ul> <li>photographs. There was no indication or evidence of contamination on the site.</li> <li>The report concludes that the site contains minimal risk to human health or environment due to contamination and as such the Investigation Area is suitable for the purposes of R2 Low Density Residential land uses.</li> <li>Council's Natural Resources Team reviewed the report and their recommendations are outlined in Section C of this Planning Proposal.</li> </ul> |
|---|--|--|
| SEPP<br>Housing for<br>Seniors or<br>people<br>with a<br>Disability<br>2004 | Provides consideration<br>to the suitability of<br>housing for seniors | <b>Consistent.</b><br>Part of the subject lands are<br>occupied by an approved seniors<br>housing complex (with four of the<br>approved 17 units constructed or<br>under construction on Lot 1-6 DP<br>270731). These allotments range in<br>size from approximately 260m <sup>2</sup> to<br>350m <sup>2</sup> .<br>Rezoning from R5 Large Lot<br>Residential to R2 Low Density<br>Residential will facilitate more<br>flexible use of the site.                               |

#### Section 117 Ministerial Directions

#### 6. Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable Ministerial Directions?

An assessment of the Planning Proposal against the relevant s.117 Directions is provided in the table below. Further investigations may be required to establish consistency in some instances.

| Ministerial            | Aim of Direction              | Consistency and          |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Direction              |                               | Implications             |
| <b>1. EMPLOYMENT A</b> | ND RESOURCES                  |                          |
| 1.1 Business and       | Encourage employment          | Consistent.              |
| Industrial             | growth in suitable locations, | This direction applies   |
| Zones                  | protect employment land in    | because the Planning     |
|                        | business and industrial       | Proposal may affect land |
|                        | zones, and support the        | within an existing or    |
|                        | viability of identified       | proposed business or     |

Table 2: Relevant s.117 Ministerial Directions

|                | strategic centres.  | industrial zone. The<br>proposal seeks to include<br>additional permitted uses<br>for one site (142<br>Kindlebark Drive, Lot 10<br>DP 1154803) to facilitate<br>the limited future<br>expansion.   |
|----------------|---|--|
|                |   | The Planning Proposal<br>will not reduce or alter<br>existing business and<br>industrial zones.  |
|                |   | The Planning Proposal<br>limits the range and floor<br>space of the proposed<br>additional use to be<br>compatible with the<br>existing town centre. The<br>site is also a walkable<br>distance to the town<br>centre.   |
| 2. ENVIRONMENT | AND HERITAGE  |  |
| 2.2 Heritage   | The objective of this   | Consistent.  |
| Conservation   | direction is to conserve<br>items, areas, objects and<br>places of environmental<br>heritage significance and<br>indigenous heritage<br>significance. | This direction applies<br>when a relevant planning<br>authority prepares a<br>planning proposal.<br>There are no known items<br>of environmental heritage<br>significance and<br>indigenous heritage<br>significance on the<br>subject sites. The<br>Proponent submits that<br>given the historical use of<br>the site and its cleared<br>nature it is not anticipated<br>that there are any<br>heritage items that will be<br>adversely impacted.<br>The existing heritage<br>provisions in the <i>Port</i><br><i>Stephens Local</i><br><i>Environmental Plan 2013</i><br>provide adequate<br>provision for the |

|  |  | protection of any heritage<br>matters related to the<br>development of the site.<br>An archaeological report<br>and consultation with<br>Worimi Local Aboriginal<br>Land Council can be<br>undertaken at the<br>development application  |
|--|--|--|
|  |  | stage if required.   |
| 3. HOUSING, INFR                             | ASTRUCTURE AND URBAN I   |  |
| 3.1 Residential<br>Zones                     | Encourage a variety and<br>choice of housing types to<br>provide for existing and<br>future housing needs, make<br>efficient use of existing<br>infrastructure and services<br>and ensure that new<br>housing has appropriate<br>access to infrastructure and<br>services, and minimise the<br>impact of residential<br>development on the<br>environment and resource<br>lands. | Consistent.<br>The Planning Proposal is<br>consistent with this<br>direction because it seeks<br>to provide increased<br>residential housing to<br>provide for the existing<br>and future housing needs.<br>The rezoning of the site<br>will allow for additional<br>housing opportunities<br>without consuming rural<br>or undeveloped land on<br>the rural fringe. It also<br>makes efficient use of<br>existing infrastructure and<br>services by being located<br>in a developed and<br>serviced area. |
| 3.4 Integrating<br>Land Use and<br>Transport | The objective of this<br>direction is to ensure that<br>urban structures, building<br>forms, land use locations,<br>development designs<br>subdivision and street<br>layouts achieve the<br>sustainable transport<br>objectives.   | <b>Consistent.</b><br>The Planning Proposal is<br>consistent with <i>Improving</i><br><i>Transport Choice</i> –<br><i>Guidelines</i> for planning  |

|                  |                                | Assessment (Better<br>Transport Futures, 2016).<br>The Economic Impact<br>Assessment and Traffic<br>Impact Assessment are<br>summarised in Section C.<br>The Planning Proposal is<br>an evolution of an existing<br>business on the site; is<br>located within an existing<br>urban area; approximately<br>100 metres from the edge<br>of the town centre<br>precinct; and located on a<br>primary transport route<br>(Medowie Road). There is<br>also an existing nearby<br>shared pathway and bus<br>stop on Medowie Road. |
|------------------|--------------------------------|--|
|                  |                                | The Planning Proposal<br>may be inconsistent with<br>this Direction if it is<br>justified by a strategy<br>which gives consideration<br>to the objective to this<br>direction.   |
| 4. HAZARD AND R  | SK                             | The subject land is not<br>identified for a change in<br>land use in the <i>Medowie</i><br><i>Strategy</i> . However, the<br><i>Medowie Strategy</i> is<br>currently under review<br>and encourages<br>consolidation of<br>commercial uses within<br>the town centre, and at<br>the same time<br>acknowledges existing<br>unique commercial uses<br>on prominent sites (such<br>as Pioneer Ridge<br>Boutique Winery) as<br>important local<br>attractions.   |
| 4.4 Planning for | The objectives of this         | Consistent.  |
| Bushfire         | direction are to protect life, | The site is identified   |

| Protection 5. REGIONAL PLAI                 | property and the<br>environment from bush fire<br>hazards, by discouraging<br>the establishment of<br>incompatible land uses in<br>bush fire prone areas, to<br>encourage sound<br>management of bush fire<br>prone areas. | within a buffer on<br>Councils bushfire prone<br>land maps. The land<br>mapped as bushfire<br>hazard to the south is<br>mostly developed<br>resulting in a decrease in<br>vegetation and fuel loads.<br>Consultation occurred<br>with the Rural Fire<br>Service and raised no<br>objection subject to the<br>requirement that the<br>future subdivision of the<br>land complies with<br><i>Planning for Bushfire</i><br><i>Protection 2006.</i>              |
|---|--|--|
| Implementation<br>of Regional<br>Strategies | direction is to give legal<br>effect to the vision, land use<br>strategy, policies, outcomes<br>and actions contained in<br>regional strategies.   | TheLowerHunterRegionalStrategyidentifiesMedowie as aproposed urban area withboundaries to be definedby local planning. It seekstomaximiseredevelopment and infillopportunities for mediumand high density housingwithin walking distance ofcentres.The Planning Proposal isconsistent with the overallintent of the RegionalStrategyand does notunderminetheachievement of its vision,or of the local planningstrategy (i.e. the MedowieStrategyThe Planning |
|   |  | <i>Strategy</i> ). The Planning<br>Proposal is consistent<br>with the Strategy because<br>it facilitates increased<br>housing in an existing<br>urban area, in close<br>proximity to the town<br>centre and adjacent to a<br>main transport route.   |

| 6. LOCAL PLAN MA                | AKING  | The subject land is not<br>identified for a change in<br>land use in the <i>Medowie</i><br><i>Strategy</i> . However, the<br><i>Medowie Strategy</i> is<br>currently under review<br>and encourages<br>consolidation of<br>commercial uses within<br>the town centre, and at<br>the same time<br>acknowledges existing<br>unique commercial uses<br>on prominent sites (such<br>as Pioneer Ridge<br>Boutique Winery) as<br>important local<br>attractions.   |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| 6.3 Site Specific<br>Provisions | The objective of this<br>direction is to discourage<br>unnecessarily restrictive site<br>specific planning controls. | Consistent.<br>This direction applies<br>because the Planning<br>Proposal seeks to permit<br>site specific uses via<br>Schedule 1 Additional<br>permitted uses of the Port<br>Stephens Local<br>Environmental Plan 2013.<br>The proposed Schedule 1<br>Additional permitted uses<br>clause has merit<br>compared to rezoning the<br>site to a commercial zone.<br>A commercial zone would<br>have the potential to<br>introduce undesirable<br>land uses and excess<br>floorspace that would<br>detract from, and<br>compete with, the existing<br>town centre.<br>The introduction of a<br>Schedule 1 clause would<br>allow limited expansion of<br>the established existing<br>use to include specific<br>complementary uses |

| contained within the<br>clause. This approach<br>permits the future growth<br>of the business without<br>impacting on existing<br>commercially zoned land<br>in the town centre. The<br>proposed limitations will<br>ensure the activities on<br>the site will not fragment<br>the commercial hierarchy<br>of the LGA or the<br>Medowie town centre, but<br>will allow a modest<br>expansion of the subject<br>land as a specific local<br>attraction. This is<br>supported by the findings<br>of the Economic Impact<br>Assessment (Jenny<br>Rand, 2016). |
|--|
| The inconsistency with<br>this direction is<br>considered to be of minor<br>significance. The delegate<br>of the Secretary of the<br>Department of Planning<br>and Environment<br>determined on the 22<br>August 2016 that this<br>inconsistency is of minor<br>significance ( <b>Attachment</b><br><b>13</b> ).   |

#### **SECTION C** – Environmental, Social and Economic Impact

## 7. Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected as a result of the proposal?

NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) records for threatened species within 300m of the site identified five records for the Koala which is listed under the *Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995* (TSC Act) and *Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act). The five records are more than 20 years old (from 1988 to 1992) and are likely associated with vegetation present in the area prior to subdivision and development.

The *Port Stephens Comprehensive Koala Plan of Management* has revised maps for Medowie (updated in 2006). The site is located within an area mapped as

'mainly cleared land', 'link over cleared land' and '100m buffer over cleared land'. This is consistent with the cleared nature of the majority of the site.

The vegetation present on site is not identified as part of a wildlife corridor is unlikely to comprise any significant vegetation, habitat or ecological communities. Any further development on the site would result in minimal, if any impact, or changes to the existing impacts on koala populations. The vegetation currently remaining within the site would be adequately protected by existing development controls relating to boundary and street setbacks.

Council has reviewed the Proponent's *Port Stephens Comprehensive Koala Plan of Management* performance criteria assessment, details of the existing environment and the Planning Proposal. The potential impacts on koalas and their habitat have been adequately considered and no further consideration is required for the Planning Proposal. It is unlikely the Planning Proposal will have an ecological impact as the existing environment is largely cleared.

### 8. Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal and how are they proposed to be managed?

#### **Drinking Water Catchment**

The site is partly located in the Grahamstown Dam Water Catchment. Any future development will have to ensure consideration on water quality and adequate water quality measures. Hunter Water raised no objection to the Planning Proposal.

#### Preliminary Contamination Site Investigation

A Preliminary Contamination Site Investigation has been prepared to assess any potential contamination in accordance with the *State Environmental Planning Policy No. 55 Remediation of Land* (refer to Forum Consulting Engineers, 2015). It concludes that the site contains minimal risk to human health or environment due to contamination and the site is suitable for the purposes of a R2 Low Density Residential land use.

Council reviewed the Preliminary Contamination Site Investigation, and recommends for any future developments on the site, appropriate environmental management measures be in place for any unexpected contaminated soil encountered during construction activities (particularly excavations). Any potential contaminated soil should be appropriately classified and disposed of at an appropriately licensed landfill in accordance with *Waste Classification Guidelines* (NSW EPA 2014).

### 9. Has the planning proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects?

The Planning Proposal will have a positive social and economic effect through the provision of a lot yield of 15 residential allotments in a town identified for urban growth in strategic plans, in a location close to services, public transport and town centre.

From a net increase of 15 dwellings, it is estimated that the additional housing capacity could support an increase of 38 persons. Based on the typical employment profile for people residing in Port Stephens, 14 people would be in the workforce across industry sectors. It is estimated that 8 of these jobs would remain in Port Stephens, with the remainder working outside of the region.

'Remplan' economic modelling predicts Gross Region Product could increase by \$1.392 million (0.04%) to \$3,827.355 million. Contributing to this is: a direct increase in output of \$2.167 million; 8 jobs; \$0.625 million more in wages; and salaries and a boost in value-added of \$0.868 million. From this direct expansion in the economy, flow-on industrial and consumption effects result in: total output expected to rise by \$3.284 million; a total of 12 jobs; and \$0.867 million in wages and salaries.

The economic impacts in the construction phase include: total effects on output of \$4.727 million; total employment 12 jobs; total contribution to wages and salaries of \$0.849 million; and total value added \$1.65 million from 5 construction jobs.

The Schedule 1 Additional permissible uses clause is considered the most acceptable and appropriate approach to deliver the desired outcomes on the site. The alternative to rezone the land to a commercial zone was not a desirable option because it could give a wide range of uses that would conflict and detract from the town centre and create excess commercial floor space.

The figures above are based on Council 'Remplan' economic modelling and planning system, which are generally consistent with the analysis in the Economic Impact Assessment (Jenny Rand, 2016) undertaken by the Proponent.

#### **SECTION D** – State and Commonwealth interests

#### 10. Is there adequate public infrastructure for the planning proposal?

#### <u>Traffic</u>

The Traffic Impact Statement (Better Transport Futures, 2016) indicates that the additional daily traffic generated by the Planning Proposal is within the capacity of both Medowie Road and Kindlebark Drive. It concludes no specific capacity improvements are warranted for Medowie Road or Kindlebark Drive as a result of the Planning Proposal.

Additional works which require further consideration at the development application stage are:

- Parking supply;
- Site access (site access from the public reserve to Medowie Road is not allowable and any access and egress to the site will be required via Kindlebark Drive or Heritage Avenue);
- The existing road reserves (Middleby Grove) will need to be widened to meet the requirements of Council's Infrastructure Specifications and to allow safe and efficient access for residents;

• Footpath connections will be required to existing bus facilities on Medowie Road and an extension of the shared path along the property frontage of Medowie Road.

#### Stormwater

Impacts relating to stormwater and drainage are to be investigated at development application stage. Lot 1-6 DP 270731 have an existing consent for the purpose of 'seniors living'. During that process issues relating to stormwater and drainage were resolved, indicating that this issue is also able to be resolved at the development application stage for the potential future development.

#### Water Delivery and Wastewater Transport

Part of the subject site currently has consent for 'seniors living', which has a similar density to the proposed R2 Low Density Residential zone and is serviced by reticulated water and sewer.

### 11. What are the views of the State and Commonwealth public authorities consulted in accordance with the gateway determination?

| Public Authority Summary of Advice Received   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Hunter Water<br>Corporation   | unter Water No objections to the Planning Proposal. The developer wi   |  |
|   | Water Supply<br>The development site has frontage to a 200mm UPVC<br>water main in Medowie Road. There is currently sufficient<br>capacity available in this main to serve the proposed<br>development.  |  |
| Wastewater Transportation<br>The nearest point of connection to the existing sewer<br>system is to manhole. The developer should confirm by<br>field survey the ability of all land within the development<br>site to drain to this point. There is currently sufficient<br>capacity available in this main to serve the proposed<br>development. |  |  |
|   | Water Resources<br>The majority of the development site falls within Hunter<br>Water's Grahamstown Dam Drinking Water Special Area<br>as gazetted in the NSW <i>Hunter Water Regulation 2015</i> .<br>The site falls entirely within the Campvale Canal<br>catchment, which drains to Grahamstown Dam. Hunter<br>Water expects that all development in drinking water<br>catchments will demonstrate Neutral or Beneficial Effect<br>on Water Quality (NorBE). The development application |  |

The following is an overview of the consultation that has been undertaken, in April 2016, in accordance with the Gateway Determination:

|                | should demonstrate how the stormwater and drainage systems will meet NorBE.  |  |
|----------------|--|--|
| NSW Rural Fire | No objection to the proposal.  |  |
| Service        | <ul> <li>The future subdivision of the land must comply with the <i>Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006</i>. This includes:</li> <li>Provision of Asset Protection Zones within the proposed lots in accordance with Table A2.4 and Table A2.6; and</li> <li>Access and services to be provided in accordance with the design specification set out in 4.1.3 Standards for Bush Fire Protection Measures for Residential and Rural Residential Subdivision.</li> </ul> |  |

#### Part 4 - Mapping

The relevant mapping is listed under Part 2 – Explanation of the Provisions and included under Attachments.

#### Part 5 – Community Consultation

The Planning Proposal was exhibited from 2 June to 16 June 2016 (14 days) at the following locations:

- Council's Administration Building, 116 Adelaide Street, Raymond Terrace (during normal business hours);
- Council's website at www.portstephens.nsw.gov.au under "What's on Exhibition";
- Medowie Community Centre;

Notification in writing was provided to affected and adjoining landowners.

No submissions were received during the exhibition period.

#### Part 6 – Project Timeline

Pending Council's resolution to exercise its delegation and make the Plan the proposal will be forwarded to the Parliamentary Counsel to make the plan.

|    | Task Description   | Estimated Timeline      |
|----|--|-------------------------|
| 1. | Gateway Determination                                    | September 2015          |
| 2. | Completion of required technical information             | October – February 2016 |
| 3. | Government agency consultation                           | April 2016              |
| 4. | Community consultation period                            | June 2016               |
| 5. | Consideration of submissions and finalise the draft Plan | July 2016               |
| 7. | Submission to Department with request to make the Plan.  | October 2016            |
| 8. | Legal drafting and making of the Plan                    | November 2016           |

The proposal is following this timetable:

#### Attachments

The following attachments are provided with this Planning Proposal:

ATTACHMENT 1: Existing Land Zoning Map ATTACHMENT 2: Proposed Land Zoning Map ATTACHMENT 3: Existing Lot Size Map ATTACHMENT 4: Proposed Lot Size Map ATTACHMENT 5: Existing Height of Building Map ATTACHMENT 5: Existing Height of Building Map ATTACHMENT 6: Proposed Height of Building Map ATTACHMENT 7: Gateway Determination – 15 September 2015 ATTACHMENT 8: Council Report, Resolution and Attachments – 28 July 2015 ATTACHMENT 9: Post-Gateway – Addendum (Untapped Planning, 2016) ATTACHMENT 10: Post-Gateway – Economic Assessment (Jenny Rand, 2016) ATTACHMENT 11: Post-Gateway – Preliminary Contaminated Site Investigation (Forum Consulting Engineers, 2015) ATTACHMENT 12: Post-Gateway – Traffic Impact Statement (Better Transport Futures, 2016) ATTACHMENT 13: Public Authority Submissions



Attachment 1 – Existing Land Zoning Map



Attachment 2 - Proposed Land Zoning Map



Attachment 3 - Existing Lot Size Map



Attachment 4 – Proposed Lot Size Map



Attachment 5 – Existing Height of Building Map



Attachment 6 - Proposed Height of Building Map

Attachment 7: Gateway Determination – 15 September 2015



| Services             |
|----------------------|
| 2015                 |
| 14-00925<br>FRANKLIN |
|                      |

Our ref: PP\_2015\_PORTS\_006\_00 (15/11885) Your ref: PSC2014-00920

Mr Wayne Wallace General Manager Port Stephens Council PO Box 42 Raymond Terrace NSW 2324

Att: Ms Jessica Franklin

Dear Mr Wallace,

#### Planning Proposal to amend Port Stephens Local Environmental Plan 2013

I am writing in response to your Council's letter dated 31 July 2015 requesting a Gateway determination under section 56 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") in respect of the planning proposal to rezone land from R5 Large Lot Residential to R2 Low Density Residential and to allow additional permitted uses on land at Kindlebark Drive and Heritage Avenue, Medowie.

As delegate of the Minister for Planning, I have now determined the planning proposal should proceed subject to the conditions in the attached Gateway determination.

I have also agreed the planning proposal's inconsistency with S117 Direction 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils. No further approval is required in relation to this Direction. Further consideration of S117 Directions is required in relation to 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport, 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies and 6.3 Site Specific Provisions following Council's proposed economic impact assessment and consideration of net community benefit as required by the Department's guide *Right Place for Business and Services* for existing isolated commercial developments. The Secretary's agreement to the planning proposal's inconsistency with the relevant S117 Directions will be required before the plan may be made.

I note Council is currently preparing a revised Medowie Strategy. Should the draft strategy be exhibited or adopted before this planning proposal is exhibited, Council should update the proposal to detail how it aligns with the revised strategy's directions. A copy of the strategy (either exhibited draft or adopted, depending on timing) should be included in the exhibition package for this planning proposal, if available.

The Minister delegated plan making powers to councils in October 2012. It is noted that Council has requested to be issued with delegation for this planning proposal. I have considered the nature of Council's planning proposal and have decided to issue an authorisation for Council to exercise delegation to make this plan.

The amending Local Environmental Plan (LEP) is to be finalised within 6 months of the week following the date of the Gateway determination. Council should aim to commence the exhibition of the planning proposal as soon as possible. Council's request to draft and finalise the LEP should be made directly to Parliamentary Counsel's Office 6 weeks prior to the projected publication date. A copy of the request should be forwarded to the Department for administrative purposes.

Hunter and Central Coast Region - Hunter Office - Level 2 26 Honeysuckle Drive (PO Box 1226) Newcastle NSW 2300 Phone 02 4904 2700 Fax 02 4904 2701 Website planning.nsw.gov.au

The State Government is committed to reducing the time taken to complete LEPs by tailoring the steps in the process to the complexity of the proposal, and by providing clear and publicly available justification for each plan at an early stage. In order to meet these commitments, the Minister may take action under section 54(2)(d) of the EP&A Act if the time frames outlined in this determination are not met.

Attached for your assistance is a simplified guide to the plan making process and reporting requirements to ensure that the LEP Tracking System is kept updated.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, I have arranged for Ben Holmes from the Hunter office to assist you. Mr Holmes can be contacted on (02) 4904 2709.

Yours sincerely,

15 September 2015

David Rowland General Manager Hunter and Central Coast Region Planning Services



#### **Gateway Determination**

**Planning Proposal (Department Ref: PP\_2015\_PORTS\_006\_00)**: to rezone land from R5 Large Lot Residential to R2 Low Density Residential and to allow additional permitted uses on land at Kindlebark Drive and Heritage Avenue, Medowie.

I, the General Manager, Hunter and Central Coast Region at the Department of Planning and Environment as delegate of the Minister for Planning, have determined under section 56(2) of the EP&A Act that an amendment to the Port Stephens Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2013 to rezone land from R5 Large Lot Residential to R2 Low Density Residential and to allow additional permitted uses on land at Kindlebark Drive and Heritage Avenue, Medowie should proceed subject to the following conditions:

- Council is to update the Explanation of Provisions section in the planning proposal to refer to the correct lot size map LSZ\_004B and amend the Objectives section to refer to the full list of additional permitted uses proposed for the site.
- 2. Council is to confirm whether the proposal satisfies the requirements of clause 6 of SEPP 55 Remediation of Land and the performance criteria for rezoning requests in its Comprehensive Koala Plan of Management (SEPP 44 Koala Habitat Protection).
- 3. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:
  - (a) the planning proposal is classified as low impact as described in *A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Planning & Infrastructure 2013)* and must be made publicly available for a minimum of 14 **days**; and
  - (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of *A Guide to Preparing LEPs ( Planning & Infrastructure 2013)*.
- 4. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act and/or to comply with the requirements of relevant S117 Directions:
  - NSW Rural Fire Service
  - Hunter Water Corporation

The public authorities are to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal. Once the consultation is undertaken with the public authorities, and information is provided, Council is to update its consideration of S117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection.

5. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).

6. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.

Dated 15<sup>th</sup> day of September 2015.

David Rowland General Manager Hunter and Central Coast Region Planning Services Department of Planning and Environment

Delegate of the Minister for Planning



#### WRITTEN AUTHORISATION TO EXERCISE DELEGATION

Port Stephens Council is authorised to exercise the functions of the Minister for Planning under section 59 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* that are delegated to it by instrument of delegation dated 14 October 2012, in relation to the following planning proposal:

| Number               | Name   |
|----------------------|--|
| PP_2015_PORTS_006_00 | Planning proposal to rezone land from R5 Large Lot<br>Residential to R2 Low Density Residential and to<br>allow additional permitted uses on land at Kindlebark<br>Drive and Heritage Avenue, Medowie. |

In exercising the Minister's functions under section 59, the Council must comply with the Department's "A guideline for the preparation of local environmental plans" and "A guide to preparing planning proposals".

Dated 15 September 2015

David Rowland General Manager Hunter and Central Coast Region Planning Services Department of Planning and Environment